



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 6 Issue: I Month of publication: January 2018 DOI: http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2018.1349

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🛇 08813907089 🕴 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



Finite Difference Method for Solving the Initial Value Ordinary Differential Equations (ODE)

Suhel Ahmed

Department of Business Administration, Metropolitan University, Sylhet

Abstract: Many engineering applications can be modeled as differential equations (DE). No doubt it is very important for scientists and engineers know to solve differential equations, either ordinary differential equations (ODE), or partial differential equations (PDE) using numerical methods. Initial value ordinary differential equations arise in various fields such as physics and Engineering. This paper shows the method how to solve the initial value ordinary differential equation on some interval by using finite difference method in a very accurate manner with the formulation of error estimation.

Keywords: Finite Difference Method(FDM), Error Estimation, Ordinary Differential Equation(ODE).

I. INTRODUCTION

Ordinary differential equations (ODEs), especially systems of ODEs, have been applied in many fields such as physics, electronic engineering, and population dynamics. This is a powerful tool for analyzing the relationship between various dynamic quantities. Most of these problems require the solution of an initial-value problem, that is, the solution to a differential equation that satisfies a given initial condition. In common real-life situations, the differential equation that models the problem is too complicated to solve exactly [7]. There are numerical methods which simplify such problems and the one is finite difference method which is a numerical procedure that solves a differential equation by discrediting the continuous physical domain into a discrete finite difference grid [5]. Finite difference methods are very suitable when the functions being dealt with are smooth and the differences decrease rapidly with increasing order as discussed by Colletz, L. [4] calculations with these methods are best carried out with fairly small length of step. Suppose that the first order initial value differential equation $\mathbf{y}' = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{y}_0$ (1)

is integrated numerically by dividing the interval $[x_0, b]$ on which the solution is desired, into a finite number of subintervals

$$x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_p = b$$

The points are called mesh points or grid points. The spacing between the points is given by

$h_{r=}x_{r+1} - x_r$, r = 1, 2, ..., p.

If the spacing is uniform, then $h_r = h = \text{constant}$, r=1,2,...,p. For this discussion, consider the case of uniform mesh only. Let the range of integration be covered by the equally spaced points $x_0, x_1, ..., x_n$ with the constant difference $h = \Delta x_r = x_{r+1} - x_r$ and let y_r be an approximation to the value $y(x_r)$ of the exact solution at the point x_r . The finite difference methods are based on the integrated form

$$y(x_{r+1}) = y(x_r) + \int_{x_r}^{x_{r+1}} f(x, y(x)) dx$$
(2)

That is obtained by integrating Equation (1) in the interval $[x_{r+1}, x_r]$ then the aim of the finite difference method is to approximate this integral more accurately. Let denote the numerical solution at x_r by y_r and $y(x_r)$ respectively. Suppose that the integration has already been carried as far as the point $x = x_r$, so that approximations $y_1, \ldots, y_{r-1}, y_r$ and hence also approximate values are $f_r = f(x_r, y_r)$, are known. The aim is to calculate y_{r+1} .

Since f(x, y(x)) cannot be integrated without knowing (x), which is the solution to the problem, instead integrate an interpolating polynomial, P(x) determined by some of the previously obtained data points (x_0, y_0) , (x_1, y_1) ,...., (x_r, y_r) . Assuming, in addition,

$$y(x_r) \approx y_r \text{ and } \int_{x_r}^{x_{r+1}} f(x, y(x)) dx = \int_{x_r}^{x_{r+1}} P(x) dx, \text{ then,}$$

$$y(x_{r+1}) \approx y_r + \int_{x_r}^{x_{r+1}} P(x) dx$$
(3)

This takes the values f_r at a certain number of points x_r and then integrates this polynomial over the interval x_r to x_{r+1} . We need to have a sequence of approximations f_r . If the solution at any point x_{r+1} is obtained using the solution at only the previous points, then the method is called an explicit method. If the R.H.S. of (2) depends on y_{r+1} also, then it is called an implicit method. According to [4], a general p-step explicit method can be written as



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor :6.887 Volume 6 Issue I, January 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

 $y_{r+1} = y_r + h\emptyset(x_{r-p+1}, \dots, x_{r-1}, x_r, y_{r-p+1}, \dots, y_{r-1}, y_r, h).$ And a general p-step implicit method can be written as

 $y_{r+1} = y_r + h\phi(x_{r-p+1}, \dots, x_r, x_{r+1}, y_{r-p+1}, \dots, y_r, y_{r+1}, h).$

The objective of finite difference method for solving an ordinary differential equation is to transform a calculus problem to an algebra problem [4]. Consequently the finite-difference methods consist of two distinct stages:

- 1) Approximations $y_1, y_2, ...$, the starting values sufficiently many to calculate the values f_r required for the first application of the finite difference formula, are obtained by some other means.
- 2) The solution is continued step by step by the finite-difference formulae; these give the values of y at the point X_{r+1} once the values at $X_r, X_{r-1}, ...$ are known main calculation.

The approximate solution in finite difference method is converging to the true solution. If f(x, y) satisfies the Lipschitz condition i.e. $|f(x, y) - f(x, y^*)| \le L|x, y^*|$. L being a constant, then the sequence of approximations to the numerical solution converges to the exact solution [6]. A finite difference method is convergent if the numerical solution approaches the exact solution as $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$.

II. CALCULATION OF STARTING VALUES

The starting values needed for the main calculation can be obtained in a variety of ways. Particular care must be exercised in the calculation of these starting values, for the whole calculation can be rendered useless by inaccuracies in them. Several possible ways of obtaining starting values are mentioned below

A. Using Some Other Method Of Integration

Provided that it is sufficiently accurate, any method of integration which does not require starting values (as distinct from initial values) can be used. Bearing in mind the high accuracy desired, one would normally choose the RKM: further one would work preferably with a step of half the length to be used in the main calculation and with a great number of decimals.

B. Using The Taylor Series For Y(X)

If the function f (x, y) is of simple analytical form, the derivatives $y'(x_0), y''(x_0), y'''(x_0), \dots$ can determined by differentiation of the differential equation; starting values can be calculated from the Taylor series

$$y(x_v) = y(x_0 + vh)$$

= y(x_0) + vhy'(x_0) + $\frac{(vh)^2}{2!}y''(x_0) + \cdots$ (4)

of which as many terms are taken as are necessary for the truncation not to affect the last decimal carried (always assuming that the series converges). Several of the finite difference methods needs three starting values, and for these it suffices to use (4) for $v = \pm 1$; this usually posses advantages over using (4) for v = 1,2 particularly as regards convergence.

C. Using Quadrature Formulae

Using the forward difference relation, we have

$$y_{r+h} = y_r + h\left(f_r + \frac{1}{2}\nabla f_{r+1} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 f_{r+2} + \cdots\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow y_1 = y_0 + h\left(f_0 + \frac{1}{2}\nabla f_1 - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 f_2 + \cdots\right)$$
(5)

Here the procedure which is suitable for the construction of two (y_1, y_2) or three (y_1, y_2, y_3) starting values can be given. The procedure is completely described by the following formulae.

1)
$$\widetilde{y}_1 = y_0 + hf_0$$
 consequently

$$\widetilde{f_1} = f(X_1, \widetilde{y_1}); \ \nabla \widetilde{f_1} = \widetilde{f_1} - f_0.$$

2) $y_1^{[0]} = y_0 + h(f_0 + \frac{1}{2}\nabla \tilde{f_1})$

Again we have the following formulae

$$\begin{split} y_{r+h} &= y_{r-h} + h \left(2f_r + \frac{1}{3} \nabla^2 f_{r+1} - \frac{1}{90} \nabla^4 f_{r+2} + \cdots \right) \\ \Rightarrow y_2 &= y_0 + h \left(2f_1 + \frac{1}{3} \nabla^2 f_2 - \frac{1}{90} \nabla^4 f_3 + \cdots \right) \end{split}$$

From these there is also

3)
$$y_2^{[0]} = y_0 + 2hf_1^{[0]}, f_1^{[0]} = f(x_1, y_2)$$



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor :6.887 Volume 6 Issue I, January 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

Improving these, the following three starting values (y_1, y_2, y_3) can be obtained as;

$$4) \quad y_{1}^{[1]} = y_{0} + h(f_{0} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla f_{1}^{[0]} - \frac{1}{12}\nabla^{2}f_{2}^{[0]}) y_{2}^{[1]} = y_{0} + h(2f_{1}^{[0]} + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^{2}f_{2}^{[0]}) 5) \quad y_{3}^{[1]} = y_{1}^{[1]} + h(2f_{2}^{[1]} + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^{2}f_{3}^{[1]}) Generally for v = 1,2,... (or v = 0,1,2,...) y_{1}^{[v+1]} = y_{0} + h(f_{0} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla f_{1}^{[v]} - \frac{1}{12}\nabla^{2}f_{2}^{[v]} + \frac{1}{24}\nabla^{3}f_{3}^{[v]}) y_{2}^{[v+1]} = y_{0} + h(2f_{1}^{v} + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^{2}f_{2}^{[v]}) y_{3}^{[v+1]} = y_{1}^{[v+1]} + h(2f_{2}^{[v]} + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^{2}f_{3}^{[v]})$$
(6)

Thus alternatively three y values can be improved and the function values can be revised. $f_j^{[v]} = f(x_j, y_j^{[v]})$ And their differences. This starting process should be carried out with a sufficiently small step length.

III. FORMULAE FOR THE MAIN CALCULATION

The next approximate value y_{r+1} can be obtained once the values y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r at the points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r have been computed. To do this the following methods are used.

A. The Adams Extrapolation Method

In the extrapolation methods we consider first the function f(x, y(x)) is reduced by the interpolation polynomial P(x) which takes the values $f_{r-p}, \dots, f_{r-1}, f_r$ at the points $x_{r-p}, \dots, x_{r-1}, x_r$ [where $f_1 = f(x_1, y_1)$].

In effect the integral can be evaluated and with y_{r+1} and y_r replacing $y(y_{r+1})$ and $y(y_r)$, (1.1) becomes

$$y_{r+1} = y_r + h\left(f_r + \frac{1}{2}\nabla f_r + \frac{5}{12}\nabla^2 f_r + \frac{3}{8}\nabla^3 f_r + \frac{251}{720}\nabla^4 f_r + \cdots\right)$$
(8)
The exact solution $y(\mathbf{x})$ satisfies the corresponding exact form

The exact solution y(x) satisfies the corresponding exact form

$$y(x_{r+1}) = y(x_r) + h\left(f(x_{r'}y(x_r)) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla f(x_{r'}y(x_r)) + \frac{5}{12}\nabla^2 f(x_{r'}y(x_r)) + \frac{3}{8}\nabla^3 f(x_{r'}y(x_r)) + \frac{251}{720}\nabla^4 f(x_{r'}y(x_r)) + \cdots\right) + s_{p+1}$$
(9)

where s_{p+1} is the remainder term and it is estimated by integrating Newton forward interpolation formula for $x = x_0 + uh$, we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| s_{p+1} \right| &= \left| \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} \frac{u(u+1)\dots(u+p)}{(p+1)!} h^{p+1} f^{(p+1)}(\xi) dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{h^{p+2}}{(p+1)!} \left| f^{(p+1)}(\xi) \right| \int_{0}^{1} [u(u+1)\dots(u+p)] du \\ &\leq h^{p+2} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} [u(u+1)\dots(u+p)] du}{(p+1)!} \left| f^{(p+1)} \right|_{max} \end{aligned}$$

B. The Adams Interpolation Method

Here the integrand f(x, y(x)) in the Equation (1.1) is replaced by the polynomial $P^*(x)$ which takes the values $f_{r-p+1}, \dots, f_r, f_{r+1}$ at the points $x_{r-p+1}, \dots, x_{r-1}, x_{r'}, x_{r+1}$ then from the quadrature formula, it follows that

$$y_{r+1} = y_r + h\left(f_{r+1} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla f_{r+1} - \frac{1}{12}\nabla^2 f_{r+1} - \frac{1}{24}\nabla^3 f_{r+1} - \cdots\right)$$
(10)
For the exact solution $y(x)$ we have the following formula
 $y(x_{r+1}) = y(x_r) + h\left(f(x_{r+1}) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla f(x_{r+1}) - \frac{1}{12}\nabla^2 f(x_{r+1}) - \cdots\right) + s_{p+1}$ (11)
With the remainder term s_{p+1}^* , for which an estimate is given by



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor :6.887 Volume 6 Issue I, January 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

$$\begin{split} \left| s_{p+1}^* \right| &= \left| \int\limits_{x_0}^{x_0+h} \frac{(u-1)u(u+1)\dots(u+p-1)}{(p+1)!} h^{p+1} f^{(p+1)}(\xi) dx \right| \\ &\leq h^{p+2} \frac{\int_0^1 [(u-1)u(u+1)\dots(u+p-1)] du}{(p+1)!} \left| f^{(p+1)} \right|_{max} \end{split}$$

C. Central Difference Interpolation Method

If we integrate both sides of Equation (3) over the interval x_{r+h} to x_{r+h} , using Stirling's interpolation formula, we obtain (with *p* even)

$$y(x_{r+1}) - y(x_{r-1} = h\left(2f(x_r) + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^2 f(x_{r+1}) - \frac{1}{90}\nabla^4 f(x_{r+2}) + \nabla^2 f(x_{r+1}) + \frac{1}{756}\nabla^6 f(x_{r+3}) \dots\right)$$

In the remainder term is neglected, the approximations \boldsymbol{y}_1 , is

$$y_{r+1} = y_{r-1} + h\left(2f_r + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^2 f_{r+1} - \frac{1}{90}\nabla^4 f_{r+2} + \nabla^6 f(x_{r+1}) + \frac{1}{756}\nabla^6 f_{r+3} \dots\right)$$
(12)
Usually this formula is truncated after the term in ∇^2 , which gives Simpson's rule:

$$y_{r+1} = y_{r-1} + h\left(2f_r + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^2 f_{r+1}\right)$$

$$= y_{r-1} + h\left(2f_r + \frac{1}{3}\nabla(f_{r+1} - f_r)\right)$$

$$= y_{r-1} + h\left(2f_r + \frac{1}{3}(f_{r+1} - f_r - f_r + f_{r-1})\right)$$

$$= y_{r-1} + \frac{h}{3}(f_{r+1} + 4f_r + f_{r-1})$$
 (13)

An estimate for the remainder term s_2^{**} in the corresponding formula $y(x_{r+1}) = y(x_{r-1}) + h\left(2f(x_r, y(x_r) + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^2 f(x_{r+1}, y(x_{r+1})) + s_2^{**}\right)$ (14)

for the exact solution the remainder term is calculated as

$$|s_2^{**}| \le \frac{h^5}{90} |f^{(iv)}|_{\max}$$

IV. RECURSIVE ERROR ESTIMATES

This section describes how error is estimated for the finite difference methods. Care must be taken that the number of decimals carried in the calculation is sufficient for rounding errors to be neglected.

- *I*) Taylor series method: If the necessary starting values are calculated by Taylor series method, the error can usually be estimated very easily; the maximum rounding error, i.e. $\frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-d}$ for a d decimal number, will often provide a suitable upper bound [1].
- 2) Quadrature formulae: If the iteration method quadrature formula (6) is used to obtain the starting values, the error can be estimated as follows. For the exact solution we have

$$y(x_{1}) = y_{0} + h\left(2F_{0} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla F_{1} - \frac{1}{12}\nabla^{2}F_{2} + \frac{1}{24}\nabla^{3}F_{3}\right) + \tilde{s}_{1}$$

$$y(x_{2}) = y_{0} + h\left(2F_{1} + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^{2}F_{2}\right) + \tilde{s}_{2} \qquad (15)$$

$$h\left(2F_{1} + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^{2}F_{3}\right) + \tilde{s}_{3}$$

$$y(x_{3}) = y(x_{1}) + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^{2}F_{3} + \frac{1$$

In which there is, $F_v = f(x_v, y(x_v))\tilde{s_p}$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{s_1}| &\leq \frac{h^{p+2}}{(p+1)!} |f^{(n+1)}|_{\max} \left| \int_0^1 [(u-1)u(u+1)\dots(u+p-1)] du \right| \\ &= \frac{h^5}{4!} |f^{(4)}|_{\max} \left| \int_0^1 [(u-1)u(u+1)(u+2)] du \right| = \frac{19h^5}{30 \times 4!} |f^{(4)}|_{\max} \end{aligned}$$



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor :6.887

Volume 6 Issue I, January 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

(17)

$$=\frac{19h^5}{720}\left|f^{(4)}\right|_{\max}$$
(16)

Similarly,

$$\left|\widetilde{s}_{2}\right| \leq \frac{h^{5}}{90} \left| f^{(iv)} \right|_{\max}, \left| \widetilde{s}_{3} \right| \leq \frac{h^{5}}{90} \left| f^{(iv)} \right|_{\max}$$

III) ADAMS INTERPOLATION METHOD:

Let us investigate the Adams interpolation method, which is based on the formula (11).

$$y_{r+1} = y_r + h \left(f_{r+1} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla f_{r+1} - \frac{1}{12} \nabla^2 f_{r+1} - \frac{1}{24} \nabla^3 f_{r+1} - \cdots \right)$$

similar relation, but with a remainder term s_{p+1}^* , holds for the exact solution

$$\begin{split} y(x_{r+1}) &= y(x_r) + h\left(f_{r+1} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla f_{r+1} - \frac{1}{12}\nabla^2 f_{r+1} - \frac{1}{24}\nabla^3 f_{r+1} - \cdots\right) + s_{p+1}^*\\ \text{The truncation error is then } \epsilon_r &= y(x_r) - y_r = s_{p+1}^* \end{split}$$

For this remainder term, or "truncation error", there exists the estimate

$$|s_{p+1}^*| \le \frac{h^{p+2} [\int_0^1 [(u-1)u(u+1)\dots(u+p-1)] du]}{(p+1)!} |f^{(p+1)}|_{max}$$

V. CONCLUSION

In this research, finite difference approximate methods for solving initial value ordinary differential equation have been studied. Even if the method is long, it is shown that finite difference method is fundamental to get very accurate solution. Basically the solution method is based on Equation (2) by some rearrangement of Equation (1). Finite-difference methods are very suitable when the functions being dealt with are smooth and the differences decrease rapidly with increasing order; calculations with these methods are best carried out with a fairly small length of step. On the other hand, if the functions are not smooth, perhaps given by experimental results, or if we want to use a large step, then the R-K method is to be preferred; it is also advantageous to use this method when we have to change the length of step frequently, particularly when this change is a decrease.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grewal, B.S. (2002) Numerical Methods in Engineering & Science. 6th Edition, Khanna Publishers, India.
- [2] Hoffman, J.D. (2001) Numerical Methods for Engineers and Scientists. 2nd Edition, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 323-416.
- [3] Jyengar, S.R.K. and Jain, R.K. (2009) Numerical Methods. New Age International Publishers, New Delhi, 182-184.
- [4] Colletz, L. (1966) The Numerical Treatment of Differential Equations. 3rd Edition, Vol. 60, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 48-94.
- [5] Kumar, M. and Mishra, G. (2011) An Introduction to Numerical Methods for the Solutions of Partial Differential Equations. American Journal of Mathematics, 2, 1327-1338.
- [6] Kress, R. (1998) Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [7] Burden, R.L. and Faires, J.D. (2011) Numerical Analysis. 9th Edition, Brookscole, Boston, 259-253.
- [8] Bradie, B. (2006) A Friendly Introduction to Numerical Analysis. Pearson International Edition, Pearson Education Inc., New Jersey.
- [9] Das, S., Liao, W.Y. and Gupta, A. (2013) An Efficient Fourth-Order Low Dispersive Finite Difference Scheme for 2-D Acoustic Wave Equation. Journal of computational and Applied Mathematics, 258, 151-167.
- [10] Liao, W.Y. (2013) On the Dispersion, Stability and Accuracy of a Compact Higher-Order Finite Difference Scheme for 3D Acoustic Wave Equation. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 270, 571-583.
- [11] Al-Hwawcha, L.K. and Abid, N.A. (2008) A New Approach for Solving Second Order Ordinary Differential Equations. Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 4, 58-59. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3844/jmssp.2008.58.59</u>











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)