Macroeconomic Factors as a Predictor of Stock Market: Empirical Evidences from India, U.S. and U.K.

Krishnaveer Singh, Aruna Dhamija

investigated the Abstract: The study impact Macroeconomic variables such as: Gross Domestic Product (GDP), The Index of Industrial Production (IIP), Consumer Price Index (CPI), Foreign-exchange reserves (also called forex reserves or FX reserves), International Crude Price (CP) on selected stock market, namely Indian Stock Market (S&P BSE SENSEX (BSE 30) index, S&P CNX Nifty index (NIFTY 50), London Stock Exchange (Financial Times Stock Exchange 100 Index (FTSE 100) and New York Stock Exchange Dow Jones Industrial Average (Dow 30). The data sets of all variables have been considered from April, 2001 to March, 2018 on a monthly basis. The study reveals long run relationship among the variables and the results of Granger Causality test reveals unidirectional, bilateral relation (Feedback) and exogeneity (Independence) among the variables.

Keywords: FDI, RBI.

I. INTRODUCTION

segment of a financial market of economy from long- term capital is raised via such as shares, securities. debentures. mutual funds is known security market of that economy. Α security components such as regulator (Like SEBI in India), stock exchanges, different share indices, brokers, FIIs, jobbers, etc. There are different kinds of transactions which take place in a security market such as badla, reverse badla, future trading, private placement, etc.

Stock Market refers to the market provided by different stock exchanges to the securities include share, debenture, bond other government securities. It is a market place where buyers and sellers of shares and securities admitted dealings, to can do business competitive open prices.

The Stock Exchange is an organized market for purchase and sale of listed industrial and financial securities. The securities traded on Stock Exchange include shares and debentures of public limited companies, Government securities, etc. It serves the following major functions:

Revised Manuscript Received on September 25, 2019

* Correspondence Author

Mr. Krishnaveer Singh, Assistant Professor, Institute of Business Management,

Dr Aruna Dhamija, Professor, Institute of Business Management

• Makes a floor available to the buyers and sellers of stocks and liquidity comes to the

stocks. It is the single most important institution in the secondary market for securities.

- Makes available the prices of trading as an important piece of information to the investors.
- By following institutionalized rules and procedures, it ensures that the participants in the stock market live up to their commitments.
- Passes updated information to the enlisted companies about their present stockholders (so that they can pass on dividends etc., to them).
- By publishing its 'Index', it fulfills the purpose of projecting the moods of the stock market.

II. MOTIVATION FOR RESEARCH

The Stock market is an important element of the economic structure of a country. The stock market plays a critical role in the development of the industry and commerce of the area that eventually affects the economic structure of the country to a great extent. The Stock market is viewed element of the very significant financial sector of any economic structure. it plays crucial a mobilization of capital in many of the emerging economies. There are many factors which affect the stock market behaviour rapidly. The variation to the different factors reflects its impact the economy also. It is said that if one wants to discover the economic structure of the country, he/she should read out the behaviour of the securities markets. So, in the above context, there is a need to conduct present research to investigate the relationship between stock market and economic financial factors.

III. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

(FranciscoJareño and LoredanaNegrut2015)analyzed the relationship between the US stock market and US macroeconomic factors, namely, gross domestic product, the consumer price index, the industrial production index, the unemployment rate

and long-term interest rates, found statistically significant relationships



with the stock market except for the consumer price index.

(Mahmoud Ramadan Barakat, Sara H.Elgazzar and KhaledM.Hanafy2015) shed light to relationship between the stock market and macroeconomic factors, namely, Consumer Price Index, Exchange Rate, Money Supply and Interest Rate in two emerging economies (Egypt and Tunisia) for the period from January 1998 to January 2014, found that there is a causal relationship in Egypt between market index and Consumer Price Index (CPI), Exchange Rate, Money Supply, and Interest Rate and the same goes for Tunisia except for CPI.

(SadiyeÇiftçi2014)"Investigated the influence of four macroeconomic variables. namely, crude interest rate, exchange rate and gold, on stock returns of ten U.S. industries, used monthly data from January 1997 to September 2014, divided into a pre-crisis and post-crisis period along applying the ordinary with whole. By least found, the impact of some approach, macroeconomic variables differs between industry sectors, whereas one variable has a homogenous impact".

(ChanHong Zoa, Farn Wei Chet, Hum Yan Sheng, Wong Hui Lin and Yip Jia Shen 2014)"examined the dynamic relationship between macroeconomic variables namely real interest rate, industrial production index, inflation, government debt and stock market index (Nikkei 225) in Japan, By applying Augmented Dickey Fuller test, Philip Peron Test, Johansen cointegration test, Test and **ECM** Granger Causality (Error Correction Model), found that all the variables are significantly impacted on Nikkei 225 in long run, during post Asian financial crisis.

(Joseph TagneTalla2013) investigated the impact of changes in selected macroeconomic variables (Consumer Price Index, Interest Rate, Exchange Rate and Money Supply) on stock prices of the Stockholm Stock Exchange (OMXS30). By using unit root test, Multivariate Regression Model computed on Standard Ordinary Linear Square (OLS) method and Granger causality test, all tests are conducted on monthly data (1993-2012), found a significant relationship.

(Martin Sirucek 2012) focused on the effect, between implication, impact and relationship selected macroeconomic variables, namely, inflation, interest rates, money supply, producer index, industrial production index, oil price and unemployment and wider US indices S&P and industrial Dow Jones Industrial (DJIA), found statistically significant relation.

(EmrahOzbay2009) investigated the causal relationship between stock prices and macroeconomic factors, namely, interest rate, inflation, exchange rates, money supply and the "By applying Granger causality real economy. model, this study found, that interest rate (OIR), inflation (CPI), CD/GDP, and foreign sale do Granger cause stock returns, while stock returns do Granger cause money supply (M1, M2, and M2Y), exchange rate, interest rate (OIR and TIR) inflation (PPI), foreign transactions. Industrial production is indicated as neither the result variable nor the cause variable of stock price movement".

(Andreas Humpe and Peter Macmillan 2007) whether a number of macroeconomic examined variables (Industrial Production, Consumer Price Index, Money Supply and long term interest rate) influence stock prices in the US Japan. By applying cointegration analysis, found data are consistent with a single cointegrating vector in case of US (means, stock prices are positively related to industrial production negatively related to both the consumer price index and a long term interest rate and (although positive) insignificant relationship between US stock prices and the money supply) and two co integrating vectors in case of Japan (means, "one vector that stock prices influenced positively by industrial production and negatively by the money supply, integrating vector, means, industrial production to be negatively influenced by the consumer price index and a long term interest rate").

(Hondroyiannis et al 2004) investigated, financial development/economic growth relationship Greece, by using vector auto-regressions (VAR) model (1986-1999), found a two-way relationship between the financial development proxies and growth in the long run.

Beltratti, 2002) investigated et, al relationship between the stock market volatility (S&P500) and macroeconomic variables, namely, interest rate, inflation and industrial supply, production by applying GARCH and structural breaks researcher found significant stock market volatility.

(Herriott, 2001) investigated, the connection between financial development and economic growth in Switzerland, used quarterly data from 1990-1999, used real GDP as proxy for economic growth and three measures of stock market development (market capitalisation, stock market volume stock divided market value and market by volume divided by GDP) and one measure of banking sector development(M1) and found positively impact of financial development economic growth.

IV. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

Researcher aimed at achieving the following objectives:

1. To investigate the relationship among India, U.S. and U.K. stock markets on macroeconomic variables.

To analyse the impact of macroeconomic variables on selected stock markets.

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The investigated study the macroeconomic variables such Gross Domestic as: Product The Index Industrial (GDP), of Production (IIP), Consumer Price Index (CPI), Foreign-exchange reserves (also called forex reserves or FX reserves), International Crude Price (CP) as Independent Variables on selected stock market, namely Indian Stock Market (S&P BSE SENSEX (BSE 30) index, S&P CNX Nifty index (NIFTY 50), London Stock Exchange

(Financial Times Stock Exchange 100 Index (FTSE 100) New York Exchange and Stock Dow Jones Industrial Average (Dow 30) Dependent Variables. The data of all sets variables have been considered from April, 2001 March, 2018 on a monthly basis. All the for study has information the retrieved from the International Financial Statistics (IMF Data Base).

VI. RESEARCH ASSUMPTION

The researcher hypothesized that selected macroeconomic variables and selected stock markets are independent.

TABLE 1: DESCRIPTION OF DATA							
Name of Variables	Symbol Proxy Used Used		Source	Unit			
Bombay Stock Exchange- Sensitive Index (SENSEX), NIFTY 50	SENSEX, Nifty	As a proxy to Indian Stock Market	IFS (Data Base)	Index			
Financial Times Stock Exchange 100 Index (FTSE 100)	FTSE_100	As a proxy to United States Market (New York Stock Exchange NASDAQ)	IFS (Data Base)	Index			
Dow Jones Industrial Average (Dow 30)	DJI	As a proxy to United Kingdom Market (London Stock Exchange)	IFS (Data Base)	Index			
Consumer price index	CPI As a proxy to inflation		IFS (Data Base)	Index			
Crude Oil Price	СР	As a proxy to International Crude Oil Price	IFS (Data Base)	US Dollars per Barrel (Average)			
Gross Domestic Product	GDP	As a proxy to economic growth	IFS (Data Base)	National Currency Millions			
Index of Industrial Production	IIP	As a proxy to economic growth	IFS (Data Base)	Index			
Foreign-exchange reserves FX		As a proxy to Reserve Assets	IFS (Data Base)	USD Millions			

The justification of variables selection is supported by the table 2.

		Table 1.2				
	Justification of macroeconomic Variables' Selection					
S. No.	Variables	Variables				
1.	GDP	Francisco Jareño and LoredanaNegrut, EmrahOzbay, Hondroyiannis et al, Herriott, Dr. Venkatraja.B, Charles Barnor, Samveg Patel, SezginAcikalin, Rafetaktas,				

		SeyfettinUnal, Çil and Yavuz, San-Diego, Mahmood and Dinniah,Sikalao-lekobane, et, al.
2.	ПР	Francisco Jareño and Loredana Negrut, Chan Hong Zoa, Martin Sirucek, Emrah Ozbay, Andreas Humpe and Peter Macmillan, Hondroyiannis et al, A. Beltratti, et, Dr. Venkatraja. B, Charles Barnor, Samveg Patel, Pramod Kumar Naik, Puja Padhi, Olowe, Rufus Ayodeji, Sangeeta Chakravarty, Mohsen Mehrara, Maghayereh, Hosseini, et, al, Alam.
3.	FXRE	Maghayereh, Sikalao-lekobane, et, al.
4.	СРІ	Francisco Jareño and LoredanaNegrut, Chan Hong Zoa, Joseph TagneTalla, Martin Sirucek, Emrah Ozbay, Andreas Humpe and Peter Macmillan, A. Beltratti, et, Mahmoud Ramadan Barakat, Sara H.Elgazzar and Khaled M.Hanafy, Wycliffe NdugaOuma and Dr. Peter Muriu, Dr.Venkatraja.B, Charles Barnor, Ahmad Monir Abdullah and BuerhanSaiti and Abul Mansur M. Masih, HarunaIssahaku, YaziduUstarz and Paul Bata Domanban, Samveg Patel, Olowe, Rufus Ayodeji, Sangeeta Chakravarty, Maghayereh, San-Diego, Mahmood and Dinniah, Hosseini, et, al, Alam.
5.	СР	Sadiye Çiftçi, Martin Sirucek, Samveg Patel, Olowe, Rufus Ayodeji, Robert D. Gay, Jr., Hosseini,et,al.

VII. TOOLS FOR ANALYSIS

Descriptive statistics (to know the subject knowledge of the variables considered in the whole research work), Augmented Dickey Fuller Test (ADF) (to check the stationary of data) Ordinary least square (to test the relationship between the selected macroeconomic variables and the stock price index), Granger Causality test (to examine the relation between individual explanatory variables and selected indexes, either unidirectional, bidirectional or no relation have been employed to examine the objectives of the study.

VIII. DATA ANALYSIS, RESULTS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

By Augmented Dickey Fuller Test (ADF), In case of India (Table: 1) researcher found all selected variables are stationary at I(1) except LGDP (log of Gross Domestic Product) and LIIP (log of Index of Industrial Production), these variables are stationary at I(2) because t- statistics is less than the critical value (5% level) at I(1). In case of United State (Table: 2), all selected variables are stationary at I(1) except LGDP (log of Gross Domestic Product) and LIIP (log of Index of Industrial Production), these variables are stationary at I(2) because t- statistics is less than the critical value (5% level) at I(1). In case of United Kingdom (Table: 3), all selected variables are stationary at I(1) except LCPI (log of Consumer Price Index), LGDP (log of Gross Domestic Product) and LIIP (log of Index of Industrial Production), these variables are stationary at I(2) because tstatistics is less than the critical value (5% level) at I(1).

TABLE 3:						
Unit Root Test						
	India					
Constraints	Augmented Dickey Fuller Test					

	(ADF)						
	Level 1 st Difference						
	Panel A – LSENSEX						
Intercept	-1.08962	-10.72620					
	6						
Intercept &	-1.40255	-10.70887					
Trend	3						
None	1.814510	-10.53178					
	Panel B - LN	lifty 50					
Intercept	-1.09006	-11.06868					
	1						
Intercept &	-1.61316	-11.04539					
Trend	6						
None	1.699672	-10.88905					
	Panel C – 1	LCP					
Intercept	-1.18066	-6.449550					
	3						
Intercept &	-2.40728	-6.482958					
Trend	4						
None	1.086138	-6.142600					
	Panel D – I	LCPI					
Intercept	4.197789	-9.885072					
Intercept &	-1.35801	-7.660126					
Trend	6						
None	9.232156	-0.192069					
	Panel E –l	LFX					
Intercept	-3.37136	-3.879486					
	8						
Intercept &	-0.62756	-8.846986					
Trend	8						
None	1.894449	-3.257337					



Panel F – LGDP						
Constraints Level		$1^{\rm st}$	2^{nd}			
		Difference	Difference			
Intercept	-0.81547	-2.068728	-41.32673			
	6					
Intercept &	-2.47340	-1.731308	-41.44653			
Trend	6					
None	None 1.915001		-41.49922			
	Panel G	– LIIP				
Intercept	-1.32313	-2.776293	-9.806107			
	3					
Intercept &	-1.83069	-2.983034	-9.804836			
Trend	6					
None 2.272409		-1.061397	-9.853605			
*Significant at 5% level						
Source: Researcher's Computation						

TABLE 4:							
Unit Root Test							
United State (U.S.)							
Constraints	Augmented	l Dickey Fuller					
	Level 1st						
	Difference						
Panel A – LDJI							
Intercept		29707	-10.27788				
Intercept &	-1.9	47695	-10.31611				
Trend							
None	0.56	57514	-10.29437				
	Panel B	– LFX					
Intercept		28090	-11.18192				
Intercept &	-1.30	62057	-11.33499				
Trend							
None	1.70)3584	-11.00114				
	Panel C	– LCPI					
Intercept	-0.541679 -7.802220						
Intercept &	-2.70	-7.776383					
Trend							
None	3.551010 -6.665874						
	Panel D -						
Constraints	Level	$\mathbf{1^{st}}$	2 nd				
		Difference	Difference				
Intercept	-1.62803	-3.290337	-43.21843				
	9	-3.479403					
Intercept &	-1.80924	-43.09166					
Trend	1						
None	2.618001	-1.928363	43.37301				
	Panel E						
Intercept	-3.28703 -1.840326 -8.66084						
	9						
Intercept &	-3.39550	-1.822843	-8.610909				
Trend	1						
None	0.376383 -1.803290 -8.698380						

*Significant at 5% level	
Source: Researcher's Computation	

	TAF	BLE 5:					
	Unit Ro	ot Test					
	United King	gdom (U.K.)					
Constraints	Constraints Augmented Dickey Fuller Test (ADF)						
	Level	1st D	ifference				
IX. PANEL A - LFTSE 100							
Intercept	-1.704996	-1.704996 -10.85333					
Intercept & Trend	-2.372763	-10.8	6147				
None	-0.052774	-10.8	9206				
Panel B – LF	X						
Intercept	-0.141254	-11.1	2147				
Intercept & Trend	2 -2.4939949 -11.15767						
None	1.581612	-10.9	9239				
X. PANEL C-LGDP							
Constraints	Level	1st Difference	2nd Difference				
Intercept	-1.856012	-2.525990	-11.85089				
Intercept & Trend	-2.188792	-2.840337	-11.80285				
None	1.888174	-1.630913	-11.89756				
	XI. PANI	EL D – LIIP					
Intercept	-0.450346	-2.091120	-10.07013				
Intercept & Trend	-1.955837	-2.206998	-10.02543				
None	-1.350358	-1.921319	-10.11693				
XII. PANEL E – LCPI							
Intercept	1.971646	-2.576237	-5.939377				
Intercept & Trend	-1.723389	-4.120421	-5.925899				
None	2.582206	-0.641105	-5.950814				
*Significant a	t 5% level						
Source: Rese	archer's Com	putation					
Ordinary Lea	et Sauere (OI	S) method show	v the impact of				

Ordinary Least Square (OLS) method, show the impact of the financial (economics) variables on stock market. Here, "Both the predicted and all the predictor variables are log-transformed. This is associated with the price elasticity meaning that the percentage change in Y is caused by one percentage change in X". For example in the case of this study, 1% change in IIP will cause stock prices to decrease by 6.69%.

Macroeconomic Factors as a Predictor of Stock Market: Empirical Evidences From India, u.s. and u.k.

The coefficient of determination (R²) are 0.5956(SENSEX), 0.5765(Nifty), 0.5957(DJI), 0.4283(FTSE_100) which are considered as 59%, 57%, 59%, and 42%. This indicates that about 59%, 57%, 59% and 42% of the total systematic variations in the SENSEX, Nifty, DJI and FTSE_100 are explained by the variation in the explanatory variables,

namely CPI, GDP, IIP, CP and FX. The remaining 41 %(SENSEX), 43 %(Nifty), 41 %(DJI) and 58 %(FTSE_100) could be attributed to the some other factors and stochastic error term which are not included in the model.

explained by the	variation in	the explanat		•				
			TA	BLE 6:				
OLS Estimation	Results					NI	of Obco	rvations:142
						INC	o. or Obse	rvauons: 142
Dependable Variable	Constant	DLCPI	DLCP	DDLIIP	DDLGDP	DLFX	R [~]	Adjusted R
DLSENSEX	0.636093	0.260086	0.488796	-0.066973	0.031948	0.550058	0.5956	0.5747
DLNIFTY	1.574826	0.329004	0.444976	-0.083740	0.045026	0.516858	0.5765	0.5547
DLDJI	71653.35	-655.3788	4399.762	1305.039	6585.121	-7087.18	0.5957	0.5808
OLS Estimation	Results					No	of Obse	rvations:142
Dependable Variable	Constant	DDLCPI	DLCP	DDLIIP	DDLGDP	DLFX	R R	Adjusted R
DLFTSE_100	5.942223	-0.845906	0.081280	0.003510	-0.111391	0.215957	0.4283	0.4073
Source: Researcher's Computation								

Through granger causality test, researcher, found unidirectional relation, bilateral relation (Feedback) and Exogeneity (Independence) among the variables.

Granger Causality Test for DLDJI and Selected Variables: - By seeing Table: 5, researcher found DDLGDP does not granger cause DLDJI, DLJI does not granger cause DLCP, DLDJI does not granger cause DLCPI, means have Unidirectional relation, DLDJI and DDLIIP have Bilateral relation (Feedback) and DLFX and DLFX have Exogeneity (Independence).

Granger Causality Test for DLNIFTY and Selected Variables: - By seeing table: 6, researcher found, DDLIIP, DLCPI and DDLGDP have Exogeneity (Independence),

DLNIFTY does not granger cause DLFX and DLNIFTY does not granger cause DLCP, means have Unidirectional relation.

Granger Causality Test for SENSEX and Selected Variables: - By seeing Table: 7, researcher found, DDLGDP, DDLIIP and DLCPI have Exogeneity (Independence), DLCP and DLFX have Bilateral (feedback) relation with DLSENSEX.

Granger Causality Test for FTSE_100 and Selected Variables: - By seeing Table: 8, researcher found, unidirectional relation among the variables.

Table 7: Grang	er Causality	Test for I	LDJI and Selected Variables	
Null Hypotheses	F- Statistics	P-Value	Ho Rejected/ Not Rejected	Causality Conclusion
DLDJI does not Granger Cause DDLGDP	1.478	0.201	Ho not Rejected	Unidirectional DDGDP →DLDJI
DDLGDP does not Granger Cause DLDJI	2.505	0.033*	Ho Rejected	
DLDJI does not Granger Cause DDLIIP	3.068	0.012*	Ho Rejected	Feedback (Bilateral)
DDLIIP does not Granger Cause DLDJI	1.143	0.004*	Ho Rejected	
DLDJI does not Granger Cause DLCP	2.784	0.020*	Ho Rejected	Unidirectional
DLCP does not Granger Cause DLDJI	0.772	0.570	Ho not Rejected	$DLDJI \rightarrow DCP$
DLDJI does not Granger Cause DLCPI	3.022	0.013*	Ho Rejected	Unidirectional
DLCPI does not Granger Cause DLDJI	1.064	0.383	Ho not Rejected	$DLDJI \rightarrow DLCP$
DLFX does not Granger Cause DLDJI	1.062	0.384	Ho not Rejected	Exogeneity
DLDJI does not Granger Cause DLFX	0.585	0.711	Ho not Rejected	(Independence)
[*] denotes the	rejection of	null Hypot	theses at 5% confidence level	
	Source: Re	searcher's	Computation	and France

Table 8: Granger Causality Test for DLNIFTY and Selected Variables						
Null Hypotheses	F-	P-Value	Ho Rejected/ Not	Causality Conclusios		
	Statistics		Rejected			
DLNIFTY does not	1.119	0.343	Ho not Rejected	Exogeneity		
Granger Cause DDLGDP				(Independence)		
DDLGDP does not	0.140	0.935	Ho not Rejected			
Granger Cause DLNIFTY						
DLNIFTY does not	0.237	0.870	Ho not Rejected	Exogeneity		
Granger Cause DDLIIP				(Independence)		
DDLIIP does not Granger	0.784	0.504	Ho not Rejected			
Cause DLNIFTY						
DLNIFTY does not	7.176	0.000*	Ho Rejected	Unidirectional		
Granger Cause DLCP				DLNIFTY →DLCP		
DLCP does not Granger	0.994	0.397	Ho not Rejected			
Cause DLNIFTY						
DLNIFTY does not	0.507	0.677	Ho not Rejected	Exogeneity		
Granger Cause DLCPI				(Independence)		
DLCPI does not Granger	1.072	0.362	Ho not Rejected			
Cause DLNIFTY						
DLNIFTY does not	2.445	0.054*	Ho Rejected	Unidirectional		
Granger Cause DLFX				DLNIFTY →DLFX		
DLFX does not Granger	0.886	0.450	Ho Rejected			
Cause DLNIFTY						

[*] denotes the rejection of null Hypotheses at 5% confidence level

Source: Researcher's Computation

Table 9: Granger Causality Test for SENSEX and Selected Variables

Table 9: Granger Causality Test for SENSEX and Selected Variables						
Null Hypotheses	F-	P-Value	Ho Rejected/ Not	Causality		
	Statistics		Rejected	Conclusion		
DLSENSEX does not Granger	0.580	0.714	Ho not Rejected	Exogeneity		
Cause DDLGDP				(Independence)		
DDLGDP does not Granger	0.577	0.717	Ho not Rejected			
Cause DLSENSEX						
DLSENSEX does not Granger	0.425	0.830	Ho not Rejected	Exogeneity		
Cause DDLIIP				(Independence)		
DDLIIP does not Granger Cause	0.677	0.614	Ho not Rejected			
DLSENSEX						
DLSENSEX does not Granger	5.664	0.000*	Ho Rejected	Feedback		
Cause DLCP				(Bilateral)		
DLCP does not Granger Cause	3.556	0.004*	Ho Rejected			
DLSENSEX						
DLSENSEX does not Granger	0.535	0.749	Ho not Rejected	Exogeneity		
Cause DLCPI				(Independence)		
DLCPI does not Granger Cause	0.724	0.606	Ho not Rejected	_		
DLSENSEX						
DLSENSEX does not Granger	3.199	0.009*	Ho Rejected	Feedback		
Cause DLFX				(Bilateral)		
DLFX does not Granger Cause	2.337	0.045*	Ho Rejected			
DLSENSEX						
[*] denotes the rejection of mult Hemotheses of 50/ confidence level						

[*] denotes the rejection of null Hypotheses at 5% confidence level Source: Researcher's Computation

Table 10: Granger Causality Test for FTSE_100 and Selected VariablesNull HypothesesF- StatisticsP-ValueHo Rejected/Not RejectedCausality ConclusionDLFTSE_100 does not Granger Cause DDLCPI0.9930.424Ho not RejectedUnidirectional DDLCPI → DLFTSE_100

2.125

DLFTSE_100

Ho Rejected

DDLCPI does not Granger Cause

0.054*

DLFTSE_100 does not Granger	2.155	0.053*	Ho Rejected	Unidirectional			
Cause DDLGDP				DLFTSE_100			
DDLGDP does not Granger Cause	0.700	0.623	Ho not Rejected	→DDLGDP			
DLFTSE_100							
DLFTSE_100 does not Granger	0.214	0.955	Ho not Rejected	Unidirectional			
Cause DDLIIP				$DDLIIP \rightarrow DLFTSE_100$			
DDLIIP does not Granger Cause	2.471	0.035*	Ho Rejected				
DLFTSE_100							
DLFTSE_100 does not Granger	4.506	0.000*	Ho Rejected	Unidirectional			
Cause DLCP				DLFTSE_100 →DLCP			
DLCP does not Granger Cause	0.797	0.553	Ho not Rejected				
DLFTSE_100							
DLFX does not Granger Cause	1.430	0.217	Ho not Rejected	Unidirectional			
DLFTSE_100				DLFTSE_100 →DLFX			
DLFTSE_100 does not Granger	3.094	0.011*	Ho Rejected				
Cause DLFX							
[*] denotes the rejection of null Hypotheses at 5% confidence level							
Source: Researcher's Computation							

IX. CONCLUSION

The study investigated the impact of financial (economic) variable such as: Domestic Gross Product (GDP), The Index of Industrial Production (IIP), Consumer Price Index (CPI), Foreign-exchange called forex reserves (also reserves or FX reserves), International Crude Price (CP) on selected stock market, namely Indian Stock Market (S&P BSE SENSEX (BSE 30) index, S&P CNX Nifty index (NIFTY 50), London Stock Exchange (Financial Times Stock Exchange 100 Index (FTSE 100) and New York Stock Exchange Dow Jones Industrial Average (Dow 30). The data sets of all variables have been considered from April, 2001 to March, a monthly 2018 on basis. All the required study been retrieved information for the has from the International Financial Statistics (IMF Data Base).

Descriptive statistics (to know the subject knowledge of the variables considered in the whole research work), Augmented Dickey Fuller Test (ADF), to check the stationary of data, test Ordinary least square (to the relationship variables between the selected financial economic and the stock price index), Johansen Co integration test (to check the long run relationship between selected variables), Granger Causality test (to examine the relation between individual explanatory variables selected and indexes, either unidirectional, bidirectional or have been employed to examine objectives of the study. The study reveals relationship among the variables results of Granger Causality test reveals unidirectional, bilateral relation (Feedback) and exogeneity (Independence) among the variables.

FUTURE RESEARCH POTENTIAL

In the present research work, an attempt has been made by the researcher to apply various econometric techniques for analyzing impact of financial variables (economic) on stock market. some areas of research which there are up in the study. It would be could not take worthwhile for the future researches to investigate these areas as listed below:

There is a scope for further research by extended the study period.

- Data collected for the present study is completely secondary in nature. Researcher could not incorporate the views and opinions of the stock market practitioners, investors, dealers etc. So, there is a scope for further research by collecting views on the sphere of impact of financial (economic) variables on stock market of these parties.
- The study is focused only on long term variables. So there is a wide scope of further research by considering the long term as well as short term.
- Additional researches can be done using some other linear or non-linear mathematical modeling techniques, namely, Hidden Markov Models, Wavelet Neural Networks etc.
- Presently researches about only developing and developed country. So, there is a wide scope of further research for grouped countries as well.

REFERENCES

- Mehrara, M. (n.d.). The Relationship between Stock Market and Macroeconomic Variables: a Case Study for Iran. Iranian Economic Review, Vol.10. No.17, Fall 2006, 138-148.
- Anayochukwu,O.B.TheImpact of Stock Market Returns on Foreign Portfolio Investment in Nigeria. Journal of Business and Management(IOSRJBM),2(4),10-19
- Asai, M.and Shiba, T. (1995) 'The Japanese stock market and the macro economy: an empirical investigation', Financial Engineering and the Japanese market, Vol. 2 pp259-267.
- Ahuja, H. L. (n.d.). Macroeconomics: theory and policy (17th Rev. ed.). New Delhi, India: S. Chand and Company Ltd.



- Mishra, C. (n.d.). mpact of Macro Economic Variables on the Stock Price Index: An Empirical Study on Indian Stock Market after Post Liberalization period. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing.
- Amadi,S.N.,Onyema,J.I.,&Odubo,T.D.(2002).Macroeconomic Variables and Stock Prices.A Multivariate Analysis. Africa Journal of Development Studies, 2(1), 159-164.
- Dwivedi, D. N. (2007). Macroeconomics Theory and Policy. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishimg Company Limited.
- OLOWE, R. A. (n.d.). The relationship between stock prices and macroeconomic factors in the Nigerian Stock Market. African review of money finance and banking – 2007.
- Abugri, B. A. (2008). Empirical Relationship between Macroeconomic Volatility and Stock Return: Evidence from Latin American Markets, International Review of Financial Analysis, 17: 396-410.
- Ahmed,S.(2008). Aggregate Economic Variables and Stock Market in India, International Research Journal of Financeand Economics, 14:14-64.
- Aisyah, A.R., Noor, Z.M.S., & Fauziah, H.T. (2009). Macroeconomic determinants of Malaysian stock market. African Journal of Business Management, 3(3), 095-106.
- Alam, M.M., & Uddin, M.G.S. (2009). Relationship between interest rate and stock price: empirical evidence from developed and developing countries. International journal of business and management, 4(3), P43.
- Adjasi, C.K. (2009). Macroeconomic uncertainty and conditional stock-price volatility in frontier African markets: Evidence from Ghana. Journal of Risk Finance, the 10(4), 333-349.
- Antonios A.(2010) Stock market and economic growth: an empirical analysis for Germany, Business and Economics Journal, Vol. 2010: BEJ-1.
- Ali,I.,Rehman,K.U.,Yilmaz,A.K.,Khan,M.A.,&Afzal,H.(2010).Causal relationship between macro-economic indicators and stock exchange prices in Pakistan. African Journal of BusinessManagement,4(3), 312-319.
- Asaolu, T.O., & Ogunmuyiwa, M.S. (2011). An Econometric Analysis of the Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Market Movement in Nigeria. Asian Journal of Business Management, 3(1).
- 17. Adaramola, A.O.(2011).The Impact of Macroeconomic Indicators on Stock Prices in Nigeria. DevelopingCountryStudies,1(2),1-14
- Aduda, J., Masila, J.M., & Onsongo, E.N. (2012). The Determinants of Stock Market Development: The Case for the Nairobi Stock Exchange. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science, 2(9), 2221-0989.
- Asma,A.R.,Naseem,M.A.,Sultana,N.(2013)Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Market Index (ACase of Pakistan) www.elixirpublishers.com International journal. Fin. Mgmt. 57(2013) 14104
- Alam,N.(2013).Macroeconomic Variables ,Firm Characteristics and Stock Returns during Good and Bad Times: Evidence from SEA .Asian Journal of Finance&Accounting,5(2), 159-182.
- Abdullah, A.M., Saiti, B., & Masih, A.M.M. (2014). Causality between Stock Market Index and Macroeconomic Variables: A Case Study for Malaysia. Munich Personal RePEc Archive Paper No. 56987. Onlineathttp://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/56987/
- Al-Majali, A.A., & Al-Assaf, G.I. (2014). Long-run and short-run relationship between stock market index and main macroeconomic variables performance in Jordan. European Scientific Journal, 10 (10).
- Banik, N. (2015). The Indian Economy A Macroeconomic Perspective. SAGE Publication India Pvt Ltd.
- Banik, N. (2015). The Indian Economy A Macroeconomic Perspective. SAGE Publication India Pvt Ltd