Original Resear	Volume - 10 Issue - 11 November - 2020 PRINT ISSN No. 2249 - 555X DOI : 10.36106/ijar
DI COL APPIRE RADIO E RADIO E RADIO	General Medicine STUDY OF PLEURAL FLUID TO SERUM CHOLINESTERASE RATIO TO DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN TRANSUDATE AND EXUDATE AND ITS CORRELATION WITH LIGHT'S CRITERIA
Dr.Manohar MR*	Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, AIMS, BG Nagar. *Corresponding Author

	A futility
Dr.Deepti Shetty	Senior Resident, Department of Paediatrics, AIMS, BG Nagar.
Vikram VM	Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, SSMC, Davangere.

(ABSTRACT) Background: Pleural effusion is a common clinical condition faced in everyday practice. The first step in the management of pleural effusion is its differentiation into transudates and exudates. Light's criteria is the most widely used parameter to differentiate pleural effusions but studies have shown that Light's criteria misclassifies a significant amount of cases. **Methods:** Study included 125 patients who had pleural effusion who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Duration of the study was 12 months. **Results:** Accordingly the mean value of this ratio was 0.10 ± 0.05 in the transudates group and 0.39 ± 0.14 in the exudates group. This difference was found to be statistically significant (p-value < 0.001). This ratio misclassified 5 cases. Among them 2 (3.2%) were transudates that were misdiagnosed as exudates and 3 (4.8%) were exudates that were misdiagnosed as transudates. In this study Light's criteria misclassified 13 cases in total with a sensitivity of 91.9% and a specificity of 87.3%. **Conclusions:** Light's criteria has a good sensitivity and specificity but P/S ChE was the most efficient parameter in differentiating between transudates and exudates in this study.

KEYWORDS : Pleural Effusion, Pseudocholinesterase, Light's Criteria

INTRODUCTION:

Pleural effusion is the abnormal accumulation of fluid in the pleural space. A pleural effusion is always abnormal and indicates the presence of an underlying disease.¹ The first step in management of pleural effusion is to classify pleural fluid into a transudate or exudate even if this differentiation does not contribute to the etiological diagnosis. Transudative pleural effusion is caused by limited number of diseases. However exudative effusions might require extensive diagnostic investigations. For this purpose many criteria have been used but they weren't satisfactory.

In 1972, Light et al², developed a set of criteria for the diagnostic separation of pleural fluids into transudates and exudates. These included- a. pleural fluid to serum total protein ratio > 0.5, b. pleural fluid to serum LDH ratio > 0.6 and c. Pleural fluid LDH more than two-thirds the normal upper limit for serum. However these criteria misidentify ~25% of transudates as exudates.³ Many biochemical parameters like pleural fluid cholesterol, bilirubin, albumin, alkaline phosphatase, adenosine deaminase⁴⁻⁷, malondialdehyde (MDA) and their ratio with serum values have been used to differentiate the type of pleural effusion.

In 1990, in a study of 46 patients with pleural effusions, Meisel et al evaluated the usefulness of the pleural fluid to serum bilirubin concentration ratio. With this criterion 3 of 23 transudates and 6 of 23 exudates were misclassified. This result was not superior to that obtained with criteria of Light et al.⁸

Paramothayan et al⁹ conducted a study on 54 patients in 2002 and found that pleural fluid LDH and fluid to serum protein ratio measurements were equally good at differentiating between exudates and transudates, with a sensitivity of 90%, a specificity of 79%, a positive predictive value (PPV) of 84%, and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 86%. A combination of these parameters improved sensitivity to 100% and NPV to 100%, but lowered the specificity to 71% and PPV to 81%. This combination achieved a higher efficiency than Light's criteria. However they were not proved to be effective diagnostic tests. And Light's criteria misidentify ~25% of transudates as exudates.³ So there is a need to identify a parameter which is better than the present tests for differentiation.

In 1978, Cabrer et al¹⁰ conducted a study on pseudocholinesterase activity in pleural effusions of diverse aetiologies and concluded that there exists difference in the activity of pseudocholinesterase among different types of pleural effusions and it was possible to differentiate them into transudates and exudates with pseudocholinesterase levels.

In 1996, Garcia-Pachon et al conducted a study on 153 patients and Light's criteria, the pleural fluid cholesterol level, the pleural fluid to serum cholesterol ratio, the pleural fluid cholinesterase level, and the pleural fluid to serum cholinesterase ratio were applied. The percentage of effusions misclassified by each parameter was as follows: Light's criteria, 7.8%; pleural fluid cholesterol, 7.8%; pleural fluid to serum cholesterol ratio, 6.5%; pleural fluid cholinesterase, 8.5%; and pleural fluid to serum cholinesterase ratio was just 1.3% making it the most accurate criterion.¹¹

A study was conducted on 80 patients by Ozer F et al in 2003 and it was found that the difference between the mean pleural fluid pseudocholinesterase (PChE) levels of transudates and exudates was statistically significant (p < 0.001). Similar significance was also obtained in the mean pleural fluid/serum pseudocholinesterase ratios between transudates and exudates (p < 0.001). In determination of exudative fluids, both sensitivity and specificity of the PChE level was 100%. Sensitivity and specificity of the pleural fluid/serum pseudocholinesterase ratio of 0.24 were 90 and 87%, respectively.¹²

METHODOLOGY:

Patients participating in the study were explained about the procedure, technique and complications of pleural fluid aspiration. Pleural fluid aspiration was performed under strict aseptic precautions after analysing the fluid levels by percussion and chest x-rays. In few of the cases, ultrasonography-guided pleural fluid aspiration was performed. Pleural fluid analysis with protein, LDH and ChE estimation along with serum protein, LDH and ChE estimation were done and an analysis of the results obtained was done. Protein levels were estimated in serum and pleural fluid by Biuret method. LDH levels were estimated using the kinetic UV test for quantitative determination of LDH by measuring the decrease in absorbance of NADH at 340 nm. Cholinesterase levels were measured using the kinetic colorimetric method based on Ellman reaction using the Beckman Coulter Cholinesterase kit.

INCLUSION CRITERIA-

- Patients with Age > 18yrs
- Presence of pleural effusion proved by clinical/ radiological examination.
- Patient willing to give an informed consent.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA-

- Patients having pleural effusion with suspected multiple etiologies.
- · Patients having hepatic diseases.
- Patients using any of the following drugs OCPs, anti-cancer drugs, MAO inhibitors, neostigmine, chlorpromazine.
- Pregnant patients
- Patients with OP compound poisoning.

Patients were divided into two groups

Group I consisted of 62 patients with transudative effusions and

Group II consisted of 63 patients with exudative pleural effusion

STATISTICALANALYSIS:

Data were entered in MS Excel and analysed in SPSS V22. Descriptive statistics for qualitative data was represented with percentages. Logistic regression was applied to find cut-off values.

The usefulness of the biochemical parameters was assessed using the Bayesian method in terms of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value. Results on continuous measurements are presented on Mean SD (Min- Max) and results on categorical measurements are presented in Number (%).

Area under the curve and 95% confidence intervals were calculated. *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS:

The mean value of serum protein among transudates group and exudates group were $5.96\,\pm$

 $0.77\,{\rm g/dL}$ and $6.43\pm0.89\,{\rm g/dL}$ respectively. And the mean pleural fluid protein levels were

 1.24 ± 0.62 g/dL and 3.37 ± 0.79 g/dL respectively. This ratio was found to be statistically

significant in this study (p-value < 0.05).

Table-1: results of pleural fluid to serum protein relation

	Observed		
	Transudate	Exudate	
Transudate	56	7	
	90.3%	11.1%	
Exudate	6	56	
	9.7%	88.9%	
Total	62	63	
	100.0%	100.0%	

The mean value of serum cholinesterase observed in this study was 8288.39 \pm 2980.76 U/L in transudates group and 9167.89 \pm 3000.46 U/L among the exudates group. The cut off value for pleural fluid to serum cholinesterase ratio was taken as 0.24 according to the study conducted by Gowdaiah PK et al.¹⁵ Accordingly the mean value of this ratio was 0.10 \pm 0.05 in the transudates group and 0.39 \pm 0.14 in the exudates group. This difference was found to be statistically significant (p-value < 0.001). This criteria misidentified 5 cases in total. Among them 2 (3.2%) were transudates that were misdiagnosed as exudates.

Table-2: Pleural fluid to serum cholinesterase ratio

	Observed		
	Transudate	Exudate	
Transudate	56	7	
	90.3%	11.1%	
Exudate	6	56	
	9.7%	88.9%	
Total	62	63	
	100.0%	100.0%	

Table-3: Comparison of all the parameters

Variable	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	Overall	
					Accuracy	
Pleural Fluid	91.9%	85.7%	86.4%	91.5%	88.8%	
Protein (PFP)						
PFP / Serum	90.3%	88.9%	88.9%	90.3%	89.6%	
Protein						
Pleural Fluid LDH	93.5%	85.7%	86.6%	93.1%	89.6%	
(PF LDL)						
PF LDH / Serum	91.9%	82.5%	83.8%	91.2%	87.2%	
LDH						
Pleural Fluid	93.5%	92.1%	92.1%	93.5%	92.8%	
Choliesterase						
(PFC)						
PFC / Serum	96.8%	95.2%	95.2%	96.8%	96.0%	
Cholinesterase						
Light's Criteria	91.9%	87.3%	87.7%	91.7%	89.6%	
30 INDI	30 INDIAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED RESEARCH					

Table-4: Area under the curve on basis of accuracy

Area Under the Curve					
Test Result Variable(s)	Area	SE	P-value	95% Confidence Interval	
				Lower Bound	Upper Bound
PFP / Serum Protein	0.957	0.018	< 0.001	0.921	0.993
Pleural Fluid LDH (PF LDH)	0.940	0.022	< 0.001	0.897	0.983
PF LDH / Serum LDH	0.903	0.030	< 0.001	0.845	0.962
Pleural Fluid Choliesterase (PFC)	0.971	0.013	< 0.001	0.945	0.997
PFC / Serum	0.986	0.010	< 0.001	0.967	1.000
Cholinesterase					
Light's Criteria	0.896	0.032	< 0.001	0.834	0.958

DISCUSSION:

Table-5: Efficacy of pleural fluid to serum cholinesterase ratio in various studies

Study	Sensitivity	Specificity		
Garcia-Pachon et al	100%	94.5%		
Sharma et al ¹³	98.75%	96.67%		
Gowdaiah PK et al ¹⁴	100%	96.7%		
Present study	96.8%	95.2%		

Cholinesterase is synthesized in the liver and its levels can be influenced by different disorders like acute hepatitis, cirrhosis, acute infections, pulmonary embolism, chronic renal disease, and after surgical procedures. Hence, the ratio of pleural fluid to serum cholinesterase is a better parameter than the absolute value of cholinesterase in the pleural fluid.

The pleural fluid to serum ratio of LDH misclassified the maximum number of cases in this study. In the study done by Sharma et al¹³ and Gowdaiah PK et al¹⁴ the ratio of pleural fluid to serum LDH was not found to have a statistically significant difference between transudates and exudates.

The pleural fluid protein levels misclassified 13 cases in this study. In the study done by Gowdaiah PK et $a1^{14}$ it misdiagnosed 6 cases and in the study by Sharma et $a1^{13}$, the same parameter misclassified the maximum number of cases.

Pleural effusions are classically divided into transudates and exudates. This is first step in the management of effusions. A transudate occurs when the mechanical factors influencing the formation or reabsorption of pleural fluid are altered. An exudate results from inflammation or other diseases of the pleural surface.

Exudative pleural effusions are a common diagnostic problem in clinical practice, as the list of causes is quite exhaustive although sometimes they can be inferred from the clinical picture.¹⁹ If an exudative effusion is present, further diagnostic procedures are imperative, such as cytopathology, pleural biopsy and sometimes even thoracotomy to achieve definitive diagnosis. The etiological distribution of pleural effusions in various series depends on the geographical area, patient's age, and advances in the diagnostic methods and treatment of the underlying causes. The difficulty in determining the cause of pleural effusion is shown by the fact that in many series "unknown aetiology" constitutes nearly 15%.

It is generally admitted that defining a pleural effusion as a transudate limits the differential diagnosis to a small number of disorders. It also ends the need for further diagnostic workup of the pleural effusion itself.

Very early criteria include pleural fluid (PF) specific gravity, cell counts and the presence or absence of clotting in the fluid. One of the first methods of differentiation was the pleural fluid protein level of 3g/dl. Carr and Power found that 8% of exudates and 15% of transudates were misclassified by this criterion.

CONCLUSION:

The levels of pseudocholinesterase in pleural fluid and its fluid to serum ratio are significantly higher in exudative pleural effusions than transudative ones. These two are better parameters that can be used to differentiate between transudates and exudates. The ratio of pleural fluid to serum pseudocholinesterase ratio is superior to Light's criteria

in differentiating between transudates and exudates.

REFERENCES:

- Malyse ML. Non-Malignant Pleural Effusions. In: Fishman AP, Elias JA, Fishman JA, 1. Startyse ML: Norwang man Pictura Filterian Services, Int Pranta AI, Enao PA, Estiman AJ, Grippi MA, Senior RM, Pack AI, editors. Fishman's Pulmonary Diseases and Disorders. 4th ed. Vol 2. New York: McGraw Hill; 2008. p. 1487. Light RW, McGregor MI, Luchsinger PC. "Pleural effusion: The diagnostic separation of transudates and exudates". Ann Intern Med 1972; 77:507-8
- 2.
- 3. Light RW. Disorders of the pleura. In: Kasper DL, Fauci AS, Hauser SL, Longo DL, Jameson JL, Loscalzo J, editors. Harrison's principles of Internal Medicine. 19th ed. Vol 2. New York: McGraw Hill; 2015. p. 1716.
- Reechaipichitkul W, Kawamatawong T, Teerajetgul Y, Patjanasoontorn B. Diagnostic role of pleural fluid adenosine deaminase in tuberculous pleural effusion. Southeast 4. Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2001;32:383-9. Sharma SK, Suresh V, Mohan A, Kaur P, Saha P, Kumar A, Pande JN. A prospective
- 5. study of sensitivity and specificity of adenosine deaminase estimation in the diagnosis of tuberculosis pleural effusion. Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci 2001;43:149-55.
- Gupta KB, Tandon S, Singh GP, Dhania OP, Jamneja AK. Pleural fluid cholesterol and 6. serum cholesterol ratio as a parameter to differentiate between pleural transudate and exudate. Ind J Tub 1999;46:255-60.
- Suay VG, Moragon EM, Viedma EC, Tordesa MP, Fabregas ML, Aldas JS. Pleural cholesterol in differentiating transudates and exudates. Respiration 1995;62:57-63. 7.
- Meisel S, Shamis A, Thaler M, Nussinovicht N, Rosenthal T. Pleural fluid to serum 8. bilirubin concentration ratio for the separation of transudates from exudates. Chest 1990; 98: 141-4.
- 9
- Paramothayan NS, Barron J. New criteria for the differentiation between transudates and exudates. *Journal of Clinical Pathology*. 2002;55(1):69–71. Cabrer B Bofill D, Grau A. Valore de la colinesrasa en liquido plerual para su diagnostico etiolgico. Rev Clin Esp 1978; 150:183-4. 10.
- 11. Garcia-Pachon E, Padilla-Navas I, Sanchez JF, Jimenez B, Custardoy J. Pleural fluid to serum cholinesterase ratio for the separation of transudates and exudates. Chest. 1996 Jul; 110(1):97-101.
- 12.
- 13.
- Jul; 110(1):97-101.
 Ozer F, Kanat F, Kalem A, Imecik O.Diagnostic efficiency of pseudocholinesterase level in discrimination of transudates-exudates Tuberk Toraks. 2003; 51(4):398-404.
 Sharma M, Gupta KB, Goyal KM, Nand N. Evaluation of cholinesterase to differentiate pleural exudates and transudates. J Assoc Physicians India. 2004 May; 52:387-90.
 Naveen M, Vanitha S, Chowdareddy N. Comparison of Diagnostic Efficacy of Cholinesterase Levels to Differentiate Pleural Exudates and Transudates that of Lights Criteria 5:04 Long Mad 5:1014-7(20):1032-40. 14. Criteria. Sch J App Med Sci. 2014; 2(3C):1037-40.